

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/768,341	01/30/2004	Joel P. DeSouza	YOR920030639US1 (17341)	6381	
23389	7590 08/08/2005	EXAMINER			
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300			FOURSON III	FOURSON III, GEORGE R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GARDEN CIT	GARDEN CITY, NY 11530				
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005	DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/768,341	DESOUZA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George Fourson	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or control of the application.</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/768,341

Art Unit: 2823

Applicant is advised that should claim 1 be found allowable, claims 7,8 and 18 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claims 7 and 8 cover all of the possibilities related to the base and room temperature implants being single implant or multiple implants. Further, an implant can be labeled as multiple implants or a single implant as desired. Claim 18 is not further limiting because step b is required to be a room temperature implant in claim 1.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sadana et al 5,930,643.

Sadana et al discloses the steps:

a)masked, base implantation of oxygen ions with a dose greater than 5x10<sup>16</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, preferably 2-5x10<sup>17</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, ion beam current of 5-60 mA, energy of 30-400 keV at temperature greater than 200°C;

b) room temperature implantation of oxygen ions with a dose of 1x10<sup>14</sup> - 1x10<sup>16</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, energy of 50-200 keV, at a temperature less than 300°C, shallower than the base implant (col.4, lines 40-41);

c) temperature ramp up and soaking at 1000°C for 5-120 min with an oxygen content of 0.1-10%, ramp up to 1300-1375°C with oxygen content of 5-100% oxygen with the balance Ar or N<sub>2</sub>, selective removal of the surface oxide formed with HF (columns 4 and 5).

With respect to claims 20 and 23, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to increase the temperature of the soak cycle to 1250°C and the oxygen content to greater than 30% with the expectation that a portion of the effect of the oxidation step would be accomplished in view of the disclosure that the soak cycle is optional. Further, see col.7, line 12 where 100% O<sub>2</sub> content in the soak cycle is disclosed. With respect to the remaining claims, there is overlap between the recited conditions and those disclosed and pointed to above.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Fourson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith, can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2823

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

GFourson . August 2, 2005